

7th February 1924]

**Agency.**

*Agency Fituri.*

201 Q.—Mr. S. SATYAMURTI: Will the hon. the Home Member be pleased to state—

- (a) the proposals, if any, for putting down the Agency Fituri; and
- (b) the additional expenditure likely to be incurred on that account?

A.—(a) & (b) The Government have recently obtained the loan of the services of a detachment of the Assam Military Police for the purpose of reinforcing the local Police forces operating in the Agency. The cost of this extra force was estimated by the Assam Government at Rs. 21,000 per mensem excluding cost of transport. It would not be in the public interest to make at present any further disclosure of the Government's plans for dealing with the Fituri.

Rao Bahadur C. V. S. NARASIMHA RAJU:—"I wish to know the number of troops that was employed."

The hon. Sir ARTHUR KNAPP:—"I do not think it will be in the public interest to publish the number of exact troops that are employed."

Rao Bahadur C. V. S. NARASIMHA RAJU:—"I wish to know the average cost."

The hon. Sir ARTHUR KNAPP:—"I am afraid I must have notice of that question."

**Constitution of districts, divisions and taluks.**

*Redistribution of villages in the Divi taluk, Kistna district.*

202 Q.—S. R. Y. ANKINEDU PRASAD Bahadur: Will the hon. the Home Member and the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) whether any fresh proposals for the redistribution of villages in the Divi taluk, Kistna district, suggesting the inclusion of some of them in the newly-proposed Pamarru taluk have reached the Government and if so, whether the hon. Member will see his way to have them published for the criticism of the public, before any final step is taken on the subject by Government;

(b) whether it is a fact that proposals have been made by the Revenue authorities in the Kistna district for the formation of a new division called the Nuzvid Revenue division with headquarters at Nuzvid for administering the proposed Pamarru taluk and the existing Gannavaram taluk; if so, whether this proposed inclusion of villages situated at several miles' distance from the headquarters of the proposed division at Nuzvid will be convenient for the people concerned and whether their wishes have been ascertained with regard to the proposed change;

(c) whether it is a fact that the non-Government villages in the Divi taluk, Kistna district, were surveyed in 1890 and the mamul wet areas localized under block system of survey; and

(d) if so, what necessity has arisen to resurvey all the lands in the said wet villages controlled by the irrigation authorities and what would be the probable cost of resurvey?